Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/799,860	PRAKASH, VIPUL V.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeffrey R. Swearingen	2445
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Jeffrey R. Swearingen</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Peter Leal</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 January 2011	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Applicant submitted the claim amendment in light of Bilski and Nuitjen. The claim amendment is attached.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Jeffrey R. Swearingen/ Examiner, Art Unit 2445	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)